

WYDZIAŁ ADMINISTRACJI I NAUK SPOŁECZNYCH POLITECHNIKA WARSZAWSKA



## Argument Technology

## course syllabus for the academic year 2024/2025

Basic	Meeting times & place:
information	Spring-summer semester 2024/2025
	In-person meetings: to be determined
	Online meetings: MS Teams
	Forms of teaching:
	The course will use a blended approach towards the lecture (in-person/in-class, direct/online).
	Lecturers:
	dr hab. Katarzyna Budzyńska, dr hab. Marcin Koszowy
	Constants
	Contact:
	• E-mail: <u>Katarzyna.budzynska@pw.edu.pl</u> , <u>marcin.koszowy@pw.edu.pl</u>
	• MS leams
Brief course	The course presents major research strands in argument technology – a sub-field of Artificial
description	visualising arguments and debates are employed. In the last couple of years, the growing interest
	in argument technologies may be observed, concurrently with the launch of the IBM's Grand
	Challenge, Project Debater, the AI system that can debate humans in real time on a variety of complex
	topics. Contemporary advances in argument and debating technologies cover a wide range of research
	threads including the building of argument corpora and argument analytics for argument mining
The course	(the automated extraction of arguments from natural language data) and explainable Al.
nie course	development of argument technology. To this end, a variety of research enterprises constituting
anns	theoretical foundations for argument technologies will be emphasised, such as argument mapping
	software, the corpora of annotated arguments for argument mining, the annotation of argument
	schemes, the annotation of appeals to speakers' character (ethos), argument and ethos analytics.
	It will be shown how these and other activities may help building the Argument Web, an online
	ecosystem of tools, systems and services for argumentation. In this way, a deeper insight into how
	understanding and predicting social processes will be obtained. Specifically, the need for
	understanding the complexity and multi-dimensionality of natural argumentation in dialogical context
	will be emphasized as a necessary condition for a further development of argument technology being
	capable of capturing the dynamics of arguments, and helping people build reasonable persuasive
	arguments, critically assess arguments, and make well-informed collective decisions in such areas
Assessment	as policy making, education, nealthcare, intelligence analysis, and fisk management.
criteria	either to (1) the creation of a corpus of analysed arguments using OVA+ software, to be put in the
	access free database of argument corpora AIFdb, or to (2) writing an argumentative essay devoted
	to the critical assessment and future development of most recent achievements in argument
	technology (50%), participation in the class (20%).
Course	1. Introduction to argument technology: strands, models, methods, communities.
content	

	2. From argument mapping to debating technologies: how argument visualisation
	(e.g. Rationale, Carneades) may help better understand AI systems to debate humans
	(Project Debater).
	3. Argumentation theory as a foundation for argument technology.
	4. Inference Anchoring Theory as a model frame for argument technology.
	5. OVA+ software as an online tool for representing dialogical arguments.
	6. Argument structures and argumentation schemes in OVA+.
	7. Profiles of dialogue and dialogue protocols in OVA+.
	8. Arvina: a mixed-initiative argumentation platform.
	9. Analysis and mining of ethos (character of the speaker).
	10. Corpora for ethos types.
	11. Ethotic arguments in the debates on contested cultural objects.
	12. Rephrase structures for argument technology.
	13. Argument and ethos analytics.
	14. Argument Web: an online ecosystem of tools, systems and services for argumentation.
	15. Summary: towards making sense of social behaviour with argument technology.
Tentative	Selected bibliography (these and other materials will be available on the MS Teams channel).
source	
materials	[1] Budzynska, K., & Villata, S. (2017). Processing Natural Language Argumentation. In: P. Baroni, D.
and other	Gabbay, M. Giacomin, & L. van der Torre, Handbook of Formal Argumentation, London:
references	College Publications, 576-625.
(subject to	[2] Lawrence, J., Reed, C. (2019). Argument Mining: A Survey. <i>Computational Linguistics</i> , 45 (4): 765- 818.
changes)	[3] Lawrence, J., Snaith, M., Konat, B., Budzynska, K., & Reed, C. (2017). Debating Technology for
	Dialogical Argument: Sensemaking, Engagement, and Analytics. ACM Transactions on Internet
	Technology, 17(3): 24:1-24:23.
	[4] Reed, C., Budzynska, K., Duthie, R., Janier, M., Konat, B., Lawrence, J., Pease, A., Snaith, M. (2017).
	The Argument Web: An online ecosystem of tools, systems and services for argumentation.
	Philosophy and Technology, 30(2): 137-100. [5] Slonim N. et al. (2021) An Autonomous Debating System Nature, 591: 379-384
	[6] Visser I Konat B Duthie B Koszowy M Budzynska K Beed C (2020) Argumentation in the
	2016 US presidential elections: annotated corpora of television debates and social media
	reaction. Language Resources and Evaluation, 54: 123–154.
Teaching	1. Interactive lecturing
and learning	2. Case study analysis
methods	3. Argument mapping and visualisation methods
	4. Corpora creation methods